Data-Driven Strategies for Enhancing Student Success in Underserved U.S. Communities

David Iyanuoluwa Ajiga[1], Oladimeji Hamza[2], Adeoluwa Eweje[3], Eseoghene Kokogho[4], Princess Eloho Odio[5]

[1] Independent Researcher, Chicago, Illinois, USA
[2] Canadian Western Bank (CWB), Calgary, Canada
[3] Canadian Western Bank, Calgary, Canada
[4] Deloitte & Touche LLP, Dallas, TX, USA
[5] Department of Marketing and Business Analytics,
East Texas A&M University, Texas, USA
Corresponding author: davidarlingtonajiga@gmail.com
DOI: 10.56201/ijssmr.vol.11no1.2025.pg.411.424

Abstract
This paper explores data-driven strategies to enhance student success in underserved communities across the United States. It addresses the significant educational disparities in rural and urban settings, focusing on the unique socioeconomic and cultural challenges students face. Through the use of predictive analytics, the research identifies at-risk students and proposes personalized interventions that can improve retention and graduation rates. The importance of community engagement and collaboration among local stakeholders is emphasized, highlighting the need for culturally responsive practices in education. Additionally, the paper aligns its findings with U.S. federal education priorities, advocating for policies that support the integration of data analytics into local and federal education strategies. Ultimately, this research aims to provide actionable recommendations for educators and policymakers to close the achievement gap and ensure equitable educational opportunities for all students.

Keywords*: Data-driven strategies, Predictive analytics, Student success, Underserved communities, Educational disparities, Community engagement*

1. Introduction

Educational disparities have long been a pervasive issue in underserved communities across the United States, especially in rural and urban settings. These disparities are marked by lower graduation rates, higher dropout rates, and overall academic underachievement compared to students in more affluent areas (Cacari Stone, Roary, Diana, & Grady, 2021). Schools in underserved regions often struggle with insufficient resources, inadequate funding, and a lack of access to high-quality teaching, further exacerbating the gaps in educational outcomes. These disparities can extend far beyond the classroom for students in these communities, affecting their future career opportunities, economic mobility, and social well-being (Davis et al., 2021).

Underserved communities in the U.S. typically face socioeconomic and cultural challenges that profoundly influence student success. These challenges are often more pronounced in rural and urban areas, where students may grapple with poverty, limited access to educational technology, and a lack of extracurricular or enrichment opportunities. Additionally, cultural factors, such as language barriers, familial obligations, or community expectations, can significantly hinder academic achievement. For example, students from immigrant families might have to navigate schooling in a language that is not spoken at home, while students in rural areas may experience pressure to forgo higher education in favor of contributing to family farms or businesses. These factors contribute to an entrenched achievement gap, limiting opportunities for students to reach their full potential (Marietta & Marietta, 2021).

Addressing these disparities requires more than just superficial reforms; it demands innovative solutions that acknowledge the complex realities of underserved communities. One such solution is the use of data analytics to identify students who are at risk of dropping out or underperforming academically. Data analytics enables schools and educators to make informed, evidence-based decisions by analyzing patterns of student behavior, academic performance, and engagement. Through predictive modeling, educators can gain insights into which students will most likely need additional support and tailor interventions to meet their specific needs. For instance, patterns such as chronic absenteeism, declining grades, or behavioral issues can be flagged early on, allowing educators to intervene before these problems escalate into dropout situations (Beard & Thomson, 2021).

The role of data analytics in improving educational outcomes is gaining momentum as school districts and policymakers recognize its potential. Predictive models have been successfully implemented in various contexts to reduce dropout rates and improve retention, particularly in underserved areas. These models offer a proactive approach, shifting the focus from reacting to student failure to preventing it. By providing targeted, timely support to students who need it the most, schools can create more equitable learning environments where all students have the opportunity to succeed (Wiedbusch et al., 2021).

This paper's research aims to explore how data-driven strategies can be leveraged to enhance student success in underserved U.S. communities, focusing on predictive modeling and personalized interventions. This exploration will address several key areas: understanding the specific challenges faced by students in rural and urban underserved communities, analyzing the potential of data analytics to identify at-risk students, and proposing data-driven solutions that engage both schools and communities in addressing educational disparities. Moreover, this research aligns with U.S. federal education priorities, emphasizing closing the achievement gap and improving outcomes for students in underserved communities.

The federal government has recognized the need to address educational inequities through initiatives such as the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), which emphasizes accountability and support for disadvantaged students. ESSA encourages the use of data to improve student outcomes and holds schools accountable for providing high-quality education to all students, particularly those in underserved areas. Data-driven strategies fit neatly within this framework, as they enable schools to track progress, measure the effectiveness of interventions, and ensure that students who are at risk of falling behind receive the support they need to stay on track. In this context, data analytics serves as a tool for identifying problems and is crucial in developing

long-term solutions that promote equity and inclusion in education (Akintayo, Eden, Ayeni, & Onyebuchi, 2024b; Ewim, 2023; Latilo, Uzougbo, MC, & Oduro, 2024).

The use of data-driven strategies also aligns with other national efforts to reform education and close the achievement gap, such as the push for personalized learning and community engagement. Personalized learning models, which focus on tailoring educational experiences to meet students' individual needs, have been shown to improve outcomes for at-risk students by providing more relevant and engaging learning experiences. Data analytics supports this model by offering insights into each student's strengths, weaknesses, and progress, allowing educators to design timely and effective interventions. In addition, community engagement is critical to the success of data-driven interventions, as it ensures that the unique cultural and social factors that shape students' experiences are taken into account (Animashaun, Familoni, & Onyebuchi, 2024b; Atobatele, Kpodo, & Eke, 2024).

2. Socioeconomic and Cultural Challenges in Underserved Communities

Educational disparities in the United States disproportionately affect students in underserved communities, particularly in rural and urban areas. These communities face a range of socioeconomic and cultural challenges that hinder student success, resulting in lower graduation rates, higher dropout rates, and limited access to higher education opportunities. The root causes of these educational inequities are complex and multifaceted, often influenced by poverty, lack of resources, and deep-seated cultural factors. Understanding these challenges is essential to developing effective, data-driven interventions that can support students in these environments and improve overall educational outcomes.

2.1 Examination of Specific Challenges Affecting Student Success in Rural and Urban Areas

The specific challenges students face in underserved rural and urban areas differ in some respects, but they share common elements of economic hardship and social disadvantage. In rural areas, geographic isolation plays a significant role in limiting access to educational opportunities. Students in these regions may face long commutes to school, lack of internet access for completing homework or research, and a shortage of available extracurricular activities in more populated areas. Rural schools are often underfunded and struggle to attract qualified teachers, resulting in lower-quality education (Dixson, 2021). Additionally, rural communities may prioritize vocational training or agricultural work over academic pursuits, leading to a disinterest in formal education and an increased likelihood of students dropping out before completing high school (F. Jones, Eveland, & Besong, 2021).

Urban underserved areas, while not as geographically isolated as rural regions, face their own set of challenges. In many urban communities, schools are overcrowded, underfunded, and ill-equipped to meet the needs of students. Violence, gang activity, and instability in the home environment can create additional barriers to learning for students in urban areas. The stress of living in unsafe neighborhoods or dealing with family crises often distracts students from their studies, contributing to higher absenteeism and lower academic performance. Moreover, urban schools may have higher concentrations of students from diverse cultural backgrounds, including immigrants who face language barriers or cultural differences that make engaging with the standard curriculum difficult (Free & Križ, 2022).

In rural and urban areas, the lack of role models or mentors who have successfully navigated the educational system can further contribute to students' challenges. Without visible examples of success, students may struggle to see the value of education and feel disconnected from the prospect of attending college or pursuing professional careers. This lack of aspiration and the practical difficulties of accessing quality education creates a cycle of underachievement that is difficult to break (Kumi-Yeboah, Onyewuenyi, & Smith, 2021).

2.2 Impact of Poverty, Lack of Resources, and Cultural Factors on Retention and Graduation Rates

Poverty is a pervasive issue that significantly impacts student retention and graduation rates in underserved communities. Students from low-income families often experience food insecurity, lack of access to adequate healthcare, and unstable housing situations, all of which can negatively affect their ability to focus on schoolwork. Financial stress in the household can also lead to students taking on part-time jobs or caring for siblings, leaving them with less time and energy for academic pursuits. These economic pressures make it more difficult for students to stay engaged in school and complete their education (Que, 2020).

The lack of resources in schools located in underserved areas further exacerbates the problem. These schools often have outdated textbooks, limited access to technology, and insufficient funding for extracurricular programs that could otherwise help keep students engaged. Additionally, the student-to-teacher ratio in underserved schools is often higher than in more affluent districts, which means that students receive less individual attention and support from educators. This lack of resources creates an uneven playing field, making it harder for students in underserved communities to compete academically with their peers from wealthier backgrounds (Loofbourrow & Scherr, 2023).

Cultural factors also play a crucial role in shaping student retention and graduation rates. In many underserved communities, cultural attitudes toward education can vary significantly. In some rural areas, there may be a longstanding tradition of prioritizing work over education, with students being encouraged to enter the workforce early, especially in agriculture or trades (Akintayo, Eden, Ayeni, & Onyebuchi, 2024a). Similarly, in urban areas, immigrant families may place more value on immediate financial contributions to the household rather than long-term educational investments. This cultural emphasis on work over education can result in higher dropout rates as students feel compelled to leave school to support their families financially (Barbera, Berkshire, Boronat, & Kennedy, 2020).

Language barriers also present significant cultural challenges in many underserved urban communities. English language learners (ELLs) often struggle to keep up with their peers due to a lack of adequate language support services in schools. As a result, these students are more likely to fall behind academically and become disengaged, leading to higher dropout rates. Furthermore, some students from immigrant families may face cultural dissonance between the values and expectations of their home life and the U.S. education system. This clash of cultural norms can create additional stress for students, making it harder for them to succeed (Johnson & Park, 2022).

2.3 Barriers to Access and Equity in Education

The barriers to access and equity in education for students in underserved communities are deeply rooted in systemic inequalities. One of the most significant barriers is the unequal funding distribution across school districts. Public schools in the United States are largely funded through local property taxes, which means that schools in wealthier areas receive more funding than those in poorer communities (Oakes, Cookson, George, Levin, & Carver-Thomas, 2021). This disparity in funding translates into differences in the quality of education, with students in underserved areas having access to fewer resources, less experienced teachers, and outdated educational materials. The lack of funding also means that underserved schools are less likely to offer advanced placement (AP) courses, extracurricular activities, and college preparation programs, further limiting opportunities for students to succeed academically (Darmawaskita & McDaniel, 2021).

Additionally, students in underserved communities often face structural barriers to higher education. For many, the cost of attending college is prohibitively high, especially for those who come from low-income families. Even academically qualified students may lack the financial resources or guidance to navigate the complex process of applying to and paying for college. Without access to scholarships, financial aid, or college counseling, many students in underserved areas simply do not see higher education as a viable option (Ramos & Sifuentez, 2021).

Moreover, the geographic isolation of some rural communities creates logistical barriers to education. For instance, students in remote areas may not have access to reliable transportation to attend school or participate in after-school programs. In extreme cases, some rural schools are forced to close due to dwindling enrollment, leaving students with no nearby educational options. Similarly, in urban areas, the sheer size of some school districts and the overcrowding of schools can make it difficult for students to receive the individual support they need to succeed (T. Jones, Ramirez-Mendoza, & Jackson, 2020).

3. Predictive Analytics in Education

3.1 Introduction to Predictive Models and Their Role in Identifying At-Risk Students

Predictive models in education use data-driven techniques to forecast student outcomes based on various factors, such as academic performance, attendance, socioeconomic background, and behavioral patterns. These models rely on algorithms that can process vast amounts of information to detect trends and patterns that might not be immediately obvious to educators. The primary goal of predictive analytics is to identify students who are at risk of academic failure or dropping out, allowing educators to intervene with appropriate support before it is too late (Yağcı, 2022).

The data used in predictive models typically come from a variety of sources, including standardized test scores, classroom assessments, attendance records, disciplinary actions, and demographic information. By analyzing these data points, predictive models can highlight students who exhibit warning signs of academic disengagement, such as declining grades, frequent absences, or behavioral issues. For instance, if a student consistently misses school or shows a sudden drop in performance, the model might flag them as being at risk of dropping out, prompting educators to take action (El-Moussa, Alghazo, & Pilotti, 2021).

One of the key advantages of predictive analytics is its ability to provide real-time insights into student performance. Instead of relying solely on end-of-year assessments or standardized tests, which may come too late to address academic challenges, predictive models allow educators to monitor student progress throughout the school year. This continuous monitoring enables more timely interventions, such as tutoring, counseling, or curriculum adjustments, to meet each student's specific needs (Gamazo & Martínez-Abad, 2020).

Predictive analytics also helps address the unique challenges faced by students in underserved communities. These students often face a variety of external factors, such as poverty, lack of access to resources, and unstable home environments, which can negatively impact their academic success. By incorporating these contextual factors into predictive models, schools can better understand the root causes of student disengagement and develop targeted interventions that address both academic and non-academic challenges (Ang, Ge, & Seng, 2020).

Moreover, predictive analytics can enhance personalized learning by identifying the strengths and weaknesses of individual students. For example, suppose a student consistently struggles with a particular subject. In that case, the model can recommend additional resources or alternative teaching methods tailored to the student's learning style. This personalized approach helps ensure that all students, regardless of their background, have the opportunity to succeed academically (Dumont & Ready, 2023).

However, while predictive analytics has the potential to revolutionize education, it is important to recognize that these models are not infallible. Predictive models are only as good as the data they are built on, and there is always a risk of false positives or negatives. Additionally, the complexity of student behavior and academic success cannot always be accurately captured by algorithms. Educators must therefore use predictive analytics as one tool among many, rather than relying solely on data-driven predictions to guide their decisions (Mahoney et al., 2021).

3.2 Ethical Considerations and Challenges in the Use of Student Data

The use of predictive analytics in education raises several ethical concerns, particularly regarding the collection, storage, and use of sensitive student data. As schools increasingly rely on data-driven decision-making, it is essential to ensure that student privacy is protected and that the use of data is ethical and responsible. One of the primary ethical concerns in predictive analytics is the potential for bias in the data. Predictive models are trained on historical data, which may reflect existing inequalities and biases in the education system (K. M. Jones et al., 2020). For example, students from low-income families or minority groups may have been disproportionately subject to disciplinary actions or lower academic expectations in the past. If these biases are not properly addressed, predictive models may inadvertently perpetuate these inequities by flagging certain students as at-risk based on biased data. This could result in a self-fulfilling prophecy, where students who are labeled as at-risk receive less support or are subjected to stricter disciplinary measures, further hindering their academic success (Marsh & Walker, 2022).

Predictive models must be designed with fairness and equity in mind to mitigate the risk of bias. This may involve using more diverse data sources, incorporating qualitative information from teachers and counselors, and continuously monitoring the model's performance to ensure

that it is not disproportionately affecting certain groups of students. Additionally, educators must be trained to interpret the results of predictive models critically, understanding that data-driven predictions are not definitive judgments but tools to inform decision-making (von Winckelmann, 2023).

Another ethical consideration is the issue of consent and transparency. Students and their families have a right to know how their data is being collected, used, and shared. Schools must be transparent about their use of predictive analytics and ensure that students and parents know the purpose of data collection, the types of data being used, and how it will be protected. Obtaining informed consent is especially important when dealing with sensitive information, such as disciplinary records or mental health data (Hakimi, Eynon, & Murphy, 2021).

Data security is also a major concern in the use of predictive analytics. Schools collect and store vast amounts of personal information about students, including academic records, attendance, and even health and behavioral data. Ensuring that this data is stored securely and protected from breaches is essential to maintaining the trust of students and families. Schools must implement robust data security measures, such as encryption and access controls, to prevent unauthorized access to student information. Additionally, schools should establish clear policies regarding who has access to student data and how it can be used (Ang et al., 2020).

There is also the risk of overreliance on data-driven decision-making. While predictive analytics can provide valuable insights, it is important to remember that students are individuals with unique needs, and their success cannot always be reduced to a set of data points. Educators must balance the use of predictive models with human judgment and ensure that decisions about student support are made with a holistic understanding of each student's circumstances (Animashaun, Familoni, & Onyebuchi, 2024a; Olanike, Asogwa, Njideka, Daniel, & Temiloluwa, 2023). Finally, the use of predictive analytics in education raises questions about long-term data retention. Schools must carefully consider how long student data should be retained and for what purposes. While long-term data storage can provide valuable insights for future research, it also raises concerns about student privacy, particularly if data is retained indefinitely without clear guidelines on its use (Soncin & Cannistrà, 2022).

4. Data-Driven, Community-Engaged Solutions

4.1 Proposing Strategies for Personalized Interventions Using Predictive Analytics

The implementation of predictive analytics in education allows for the creation of personalized interventions tailored to meet the unique needs of each student. One effective strategy is the development of Early Warning Systems (EWS) that utilize real-time data to monitor student performance and identify those at risk of academic failure. These systems analyze a multitude of indicators, including attendance patterns, grades, and behavioral data, to provide educators with timely insights into which students require additional support (Kustitskaya, Kytmanov, & Noskov, 2022). For example, suppose a predictive model flags a student due to declining grades or increased absenteeism. In that case, educators can initiate a tailored intervention plan. Depending on the student's identified needs, this plan may include academic tutoring, mentoring programs, or social-emotional support. Moreover, involving students in the process can empower them to take an active role in their learning journey. This could involve setting

personalized academic goals, identifying challenges, and developing strategies for overcoming those challenges with guidance from educators and counselors (Bowers, 2021).

In addition to individual interventions, predictive analytics can facilitate the identification of trends within larger student populations. By analyzing data across grade levels or schools, educators can determine which groups of students may require targeted support. For instance, if a particular demographic consistently demonstrates lower performance in math, schools can implement specialized math programs or after-school tutoring specifically designed for those students. This proactive approach addresses individual student needs and helps raise overall performance standards across the community (Namoun & Alshanqiti, 2020).

4.2 Collaboration with Local Communities, Schools, and Policymakers

A critical component of successful data-driven interventions is the collaboration between schools, local communities, and policymakers. Engaging all stakeholders in the process ensures that the solutions developed are data-informed, culturally relevant, and reflective of the community's unique needs. For example, schools can work closely with local community organizations, such as youth programs, after-school initiatives, and family service agencies, to create comprehensive support systems for students (Wu et al., 2020).

Involving parents and families in the educational process is also vital. Schools can establish parent engagement programs that provide resources and training to help families support their children's academic success. Educators can create a supportive home environment that encourages student learning and success by fostering strong partnerships between schools and families. Additionally, parents can provide valuable insights into their children's unique challenges, enabling educators to design more effective and culturally sensitive interventions (Bachman, Anderman, Zyromski, & Boone, 2021).

Collaboration with policymakers is equally important for securing the necessary resources and support to implement these initiatives effectively. Policymakers can advocate for funding to support data infrastructure and educators' training in predictive analytics tools. By highlighting the importance of data-driven strategies to close the achievement gap, policymakers can help prioritize initiatives that align with federal education objectives, such as improving access to high-quality education for all students (Eden, Chisom, & Adeniyi, 2024). Furthermore, community engagement can extend to involving local businesses and organizations in the educational process. Businesses can offer students internships, mentorship programs, and scholarships, providing them real-world experiences and opportunities to explore potential career paths. This enhances the students' educational experience and fosters a sense of community ownership and investment in student success (T. E. Smith, Holmes, Romero, & Sheridan, 2022).

4.3 Emphasis on Community Engagement and Culturally Responsive Practices in Education

A culturally responsive approach to education recognizes the diverse backgrounds of students and incorporates their cultural experiences into the learning process. Data-driven solutions must be designed with this emphasis on cultural responsiveness in mind. This involves acknowledging the unique challenges faced by students in underserved communities and leveraging their strengths and cultural assets to create an inclusive learning environment. For

instance, schools can implement culturally relevant curriculum and teaching practices that resonate with the experiences of their students. This can include integrating local history, traditions, and values into lesson plans and fostering an environment that celebrates diversity. Educators should also receive training in cultural competency, enabling them to better understand and address the needs of their students (Ladson-Billings, 2023).

Moreover, engaging students in the development of interventions can promote a sense of ownership and agency. By involving students in discussions about their educational experiences and needs, schools can create interventions that are more likely to resonate with students and lead to positive outcomes. This student-centered approach can help build trust between educators and students, creating a supportive atmosphere conducive to learning (T. Smith, Avraamidou, & Adams, 2022).

The proposed data-driven, community-engaged solutions align closely with U.S. federal initiatives aimed at closing the achievement gap. The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) emphasizes the importance of evidence-based interventions and the use of data to improve educational outcomes for all students, particularly those in underserved communities. Schools can align their efforts with these federal priorities by implementing predictive analytics and fostering collaboration with local communities (DeMatthews, Serafini, & Watson, 2021). Furthermore, federal programs to increase funding for educational resources in low-income areas can be leveraged to support data-driven initiatives. By advocating for the allocation of resources to improve data infrastructure and training for educators, schools can enhance their capacity to implement effective interventions. Additionally, federal support for community schools, which provide integrated services and support to students and families, can further facilitate the development of holistic approaches to education that address the unique needs of underserved populations (Medina, Cosby, & Grim, 2020).

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

The research highlights several critical insights regarding the potential of data analytics in education. First, predictive models serve as invaluable tools for identifying students at risk of dropping out, thereby allowing educators to intervene early. These models analyze various data points, including academic performance, attendance, and behavioral indicators, to provide a nuanced understanding of each student's situation. Consequently, personalized interventions can be developed, addressing the specific challenges faced by individual students.

Furthermore, the analysis revealed the importance of community engagement in developing and implementing these strategies. Collaboration among schools, families, local organizations, and policymakers is essential for creating tailored solutions that are culturally responsive and effective. By actively involving the community in educational initiatives, schools can better align their efforts with the needs and aspirations of students and their families.

Additionally, the emphasis on culturally responsive practices emerged as a vital aspect of successful interventions. Recognizing and incorporating students' diverse backgrounds and experiences into the educational process fosters an inclusive environment that encourages engagement and enhances learning outcomes. This cultural relevance builds trust between educators and students and empowers students to take ownership of their learning.

Based on the findings of this research, several policy recommendations can be proposed to integrate data analytics into federal and local education strategies effectively.

- Federal and state education agencies should prioritize the development of robust data infrastructure that allows for the seamless collection, analysis, and sharing of student data. This infrastructure should support Early Warning Systems and predictive analytics tools, enabling educators to identify at-risk students early and tailor interventions accordingly.
- Training programs should be established to equip educators with the skills necessary to utilize data analytics tools effectively. Professional development should focus on interpreting data, understanding predictive models, and implementing data-driven interventions. This training will empower educators to make informed decisions directly impacting student success.
- Policies should encourage the establishment of partnerships between schools and local community organizations. Schools can leverage community resources, knowledge, and support by fostering collaboration to enhance educational outcomes. Community involvement should be recognized as a critical component of effective educational strategies.
- Local and federal governments must fund data-driven educational initiatives in underserved communities. This funding can support the implementation of predictive analytics tools, community engagement programs, and culturally relevant curricula that address the unique needs of diverse student populations.

In conclusion, integrating data-driven strategies into educational practices represents a significant opportunity to enhance student success in underserved communities. By implementing the recommended policies, educators and policymakers can work collaboratively to create an equitable educational landscape where all students have the opportunity to thrive.

References

Akintayo, O. T., Eden, C. A., Ayeni, O. O., & Onyebuchi, N. C. (2024a). Evaluating the impact of educational technology on learning outcomes in the higher education sector: a systematic review. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6*(5), 1395-1422.

Akintayo, O. T., Eden, C. A., Ayeni, O. O., & Onyebuchi, N. C. (2024b). A review of advanced educational methods and their impact on US competitiveness in the global market. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6*(4), 721-733.

Ang, K. L.-M., Ge, F. L., & Seng, K. P. (2020). Big educational data & analytics: Survey, architecture and challenges. *IEEE access, 8*, 116392-116414.

Animashaun, E. S., Familoni, B. T., & Onyebuchi, N. C. (2024a). Advanced machine learning techniques for personalising technology education. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5*(6), 1300-1313.

Animashaun, E. S., Familoni, B. T., & Onyebuchi, N. C. (2024b). The role of virtual reality in enhancing educational outcomes across disciplines. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6*(6), 1169-1177.

Atobatele, F. A., Kpodo, P. C., & Eke, I. O. (2024). Strategies for enhancing international student retention: A critical literature review. *Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology, 10*(2), 035-045.

Bachman, H. F., Anderman, E. M., Zyromski, B., & Boone, B. (2021). The Role of Parents during the Middle School Years: Strategies for Teachers to Support Middle School Family Engagement. *School Community Journal, 31*(1), 109-126.

Barbera, S. A., Berkshire, S. D., Boronat, C. B., & Kennedy, M. H. (2020). Review of undergraduate student retention and graduation since 2010: Patterns, predictions, and recommendations for 2020. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice, 22*(2), 227-250.

Beard, K. S., & Thomson, S. I. (2021). Breaking barriers: District and school administrators engaging family, and community as a key determinant of student success. *Urban Education, 56*(7), 1067-1105.

Bowers, A. J. (2021). Early warning systems and indicators of dropping out of upper secondary school: The emerging role of digital technologies. *OECD Digital Education Outlook 2021 Pushing the Frontiers with Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain and Robots: Pushing the Frontiers with Artificial Intelligence, Blockchain and Robots*, 173.

Cacari Stone, L., Roary, M. C., Diana, A., & Grady, P. A. (2021). State health disparities research in Rural America: Gaps and future directions in an era of COVID-19. *The Journal of Rural Health, 37*(3), 460-466.

Darmawaskita, N., & McDaniel, T. (2021). *Analysis of the impact of educational technology on social inequity in the United States.* Paper presented at the International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction.

Davis, C. M., Apter, A. J., Casillas, A., Foggs, M. B., Louisias, M., Morris, E. C., . . . Walker-McGill, C. L. (2021). Health disparities in allergic and immunologic conditions in racial and ethnic underserved populations: a Work Group Report of the AAAAI Committee on the Underserved. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 147*(5), 1579-1593.

DeMatthews, D. E., Serafini, A., & Watson, T. N. (2021). Leading inclusive schools: Principal perceptions, practices, and challenges to meaningful change. *Educational Administration Quarterly, 57*(1), 3-48.

Dixson, D. D. (2021). Intersections of culture, context, and race with poverty: Implications for services for gifted learners from low-income backgrounds. *Unlocking potential: Identifying and serving gifted students from low-income households*.

Dumont, H., & Ready, D. D. (2023). On the promise of personalized learning for educational equity. *Npj science of learning*, *8*(1), 26.

Eden, C. A., Chisom, O. N., & Adeniyi, I. S. (2024). Parent and community involvement in education: strengthening partnerships for social improvement. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *6*(3), 372-382.

El-Moussa, O. J., Alghazo, R., & Pilotti, M. A. (2021). Data-driven predictions of academic success among college students in Saudi Arabia. *Critical Studies in Teaching and Learning*, *9*(1), 115-134.

Ewim, D. R. E. (2023). Integrating Business principles in STEM Education: fostering entrepreneurship in students and educators in the US and Nigeria. *IJEBD (International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business Development)*, *6*(4), 590-605.

Free, J. L., & Križ, K. (2022). The not-so-hidden curriculum: How a public school system in the United States minoritizes migrant students. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, *55*(1-2), 50-72.

Gamazo, A., & Martínez-Abad, F. (2020). An exploration of factors linked to academic performance in PISA 2018 through data mining techniques. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 575167.

Hakimi, L., Eynon, R., & Murphy, V. A. (2021). The ethics of using digital trace data in education: A thematic review of the research landscape. *Review of Educational Research*, *91*(5), 671-717.

Johnson, J. A., & Park, M. (2022). Creating School Scientific Communities among Urban Refugee ELL Populations. *European Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, *10*(1), 87-103.

Jones, F., Eveland, T., & Besong, B. (2021). Contrasting Challenges of Urban, Suburban, and Rural First-Generation College Students to Improve Retention Programs. *Journal of Underrepresented & Minority Progress*, *5*(2).

Jones, K. M., Asher, A., Goben, A., Perry, M. R., Salo, D., Briney, K. A., & Robertshaw, M. B. (2020). "We're being tracked at all times": Student perspectives of their privacy in relation to learning analytics in higher education. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, *71*(9), 1044-1059.

Jones, T., Ramirez-Mendoza, J., & Jackson, V. (2020). A Promise Worth Keeping: An Updated Equity-Driven Framework for Free College Programs. *Education Trust*.

Kumi-Yeboah, A., Onyewuenyi, A. C., & Smith, P. (2021). Teaching black immigrant students in urban schools: Teacher and peer relationships and academic performances. *The Urban Review*, *53*(2), 218-242.

Kustitskaya, T. A., Kytmanov, A. A., & Noskov, M. V. (2022). Early student-at-risk detection by current learning performance and learning behavior indicators. *Cybernetics and information technologies, 22*(1), 117-133.

Ladson-Billings, G. (2023). " Yes, But How Do We Do It?": Practicing Culturally Relevant Pedagogy. In *White teachers/diverse classrooms* (pp. 33-46): Routledge.

Latilo, A., Uzougbo, N. S., MC, U., & Oduro, P. (2024). Role and effectiveness of advance payment guarantees in construction contracts. *World Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 6*(01), 088-102.

Loofbourrow, B. M., & Scherr, R. E. (2023). Food insecurity in higher education: a contemporary review of impacts and explorations of solutions. *International journal of environmental research and public health, 20*(10), 5884.

Mahoney, J. L., Weissberg, R. P., Greenberg, M. T., Dusenbury, L., Jagers, R. J., Niemi, K., . . . VanAusdal, K. (2021). Systemic social and emotional learning: Promoting educational success for all preschool to high school students. *American Psychologist, 76*(7), 1128.

Marietta, G., & Marietta, S. (2021). *Rural education in America: What works for our students, teachers, and communities*: Harvard Education Press.

Marsh, L. T. S., & Walker, L. J. (2022). Deficit-oriented beliefs, anti-Black policies, punitive practices, and labeling: Exploring the mechanisms of disproportionality and its impact on Black boys in one urban “no-excuses” charter school. *Teachers College Record, 124*(2), 85-116.

Medina, M. A., Cosby, G., & Grim, J. (2020). Community engagement through partnerships: Lessons learned from a decade of full-service community school implementation. In *Reviewing the Success of Full-Service Community Schools in the US* (pp. 128-146): Routledge.

Namoun, A., & Alshanqiti, A. (2020). Predicting student performance using data mining and learning analytics techniques: A systematic literature review. *Applied Sciences, 11*(1), 237.

Oakes, J., Cookson, P., George, J., Levin, S., & Carver-Thomas, D. (2021). Adequate and Equitable Education in High-Poverty Schools: Barriers and Opportunities in North Carolina. Research Brief. *Learning Policy Institute*.

Olanike, S., Asogwa, C. N., Njideka, M., Daniel, R., & Temiloluwa, O. (2023). A Comparison of Perceptions of Assessment Practices in Higher Institutions between Academic Staff and Students: A Case Study of Federal College of Education, Yola. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Educational Studies, 10*(3), 179-203.

Que, S. B. (2020). Food Security as a Basic Right for College Students: A Descriptive Study of the Factors Associated with Food Insecurity and Higher Education Attainment.

Ramos, D., & Sifuentez, B. (2021). Historically underrepresented students redefining college success in higher education. *Journal of Postsecondary Student Success, 1*(2), 91-110.

Smith, T., Avraamidou, L., & Adams, J. D. (2022). Culturally relevant/responsive and sustaining pedagogies in science education: theoretical perspectives and curriculum implications. *Cultural Studies of Science Education, 17*(3), 637-660.

Smith, T. E., Holmes, S. R., Romero, M. E., & Sheridan, S. M. (2022). Evaluating the effects of family–school engagement interventions on parent–teacher relationships: A meta-analysis. *School mental health, 14*(2), 278-293.

Soncin, M., & Cannistrà, M. (2022). Data analytics in education: are schools on the long and winding road? *Qualitative research in accounting & management, 19*(3), 286-304.

von Winckelmann, S. L. (2023). Predictive algorithms and racial bias: a qualitative descriptive study on the perceptions of algorithm accuracy in higher education. *Information and Learning Sciences, 124*(9/10), 349-371.

Wiedbusch, M. D., Kite, V., Yang, X., Park, S., Chi, M., Taub, M., & Azevedo, R. (2021). *A theoretical and evidence-based conceptual design of metadash: An intelligent teacher dashboard to support teachers' decision making and students' self-regulated learning.* Paper presented at the Frontiers in education.

Wu, E., Villani, J., Davis, A., Fareed, N., Harris, D. R., Huerta, T. R., . . . Oga, E. A. (2020). Community dashboards to support data-informed decision-making in the HEALing communities study. *Drug and alcohol dependence, 217*, 108331.

Yağcı, M. (2022). Educational data mining: prediction of students' academic performance using machine learning algorithms. *Smart Learning Environments, 9*(1), 11.